UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/078,778	02/19/2002	Donald Henry Willis	PU020026	5111
JOSEPH S. TR	7590 04/16/200 IPOLI	EXAM	INER	
THOMSON MULTIMEDIA LICENSING INC. 2 INDEPENCENCE WAY P.O. BOX 5312 PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			PHAM, TAMMY T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/078,778	WILLIS, DONALD HENRY		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	TAMMY PHAM	2629		
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commul - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply we have reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. Itory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ill, by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2th Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	o)∏ This action is non-final. or allowance except for formal mat	· •		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1,3-9 and 11-16 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-9 and 11-16 is/are rejected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	e withdrawn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objecti Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the specific sheet of the sp	a) accepted or b) objected to ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya he correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/078,778 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 2, 10 are cancelled. Claims 1, 9, 11, 13, 15 have been amended. Claims 1, 3-9, 11-16 are pending.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 18 January 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. In regards to claims 1, 13, Applicant submits that "[t]he added feature of 'the split low pass filter arrangement comprises at least two low pass filters, at least one associated delay circuit, and maximum selector circuit' is neither disclosed nor suggested in US 7,119,774. As such, it is clear that the present application is an improvement over US 7,119,774 and is patentably distinct from it (Remarks 1)." Examiner respectfully disagrees. Willis '774 in combination with the multiple low pass filters of Rumreich reads upon the claim language as currently stated.
- 4. In regards to claims 9, 15, Applicant submits that "[t]he added feature of 'low pass filtering the low brightness signal according to a first filtering rate to generate a first filtered value; delay matching and low pass filtering the low brightness signal according to a second filtering rate to generate a second filtered value; (and) selecting as a filtered output for use in the combining step the maximum of the first and second filtered values' are neither nor suggested (Remarks 1-2)." Examiner respectfully disagrees. Willis '774 in combination with the multiple low pass filters of Rumreich reads upon the claim language as currently stated.

Application/Control Number: 10/078,778

Art Unit: 2629

Double Patenting

Page 3

- 5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- 6. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.
- 7. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 8. Claims 1, 3-9, and 11-16 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 21 of Willis et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,119,774 B2). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Current Application, Claim 1 (US Publication No: 2003/0156091 A1)	Reference, Claim 21 (US Patent No: 7,119,774 B2)
A circuit for reducing adjacent pixel interdependence in a liquid crystal display, comprising:	A circuit for reducing sparkle artifacts of a liquid crystal images, comprising:
a decomposer for dividing an input video signal into a plurality of signals having at least a high brightness signal and a low brightness signal;	a decomposer for dividing a video signal for a picture into a higher brightness level signal and a lower brightness level signal;
a low pass filter arrangement for independently low pass filtering rising	a low pass filter for processing the lower brightness level signal, the low

Application/Control Number: 10/078,778

Art Unit: 2629

transients and lengthening a fall time of falling transients in the low brightness signal to reduce adjacent pixel interdependence; and,	pass filtered lower brightness level signal being delayed;
at least one associated delay circuit, and	a delay circuit for the higher brightness level signal
a maximum selector circuit; and	an alegebraic circuit for combining the low pass filter
a delay matching circuit for the high brightness signal;	a delay circuit for the higher brightness level signal
and means for combining the delayed high brightness signal with the filtered low brightness signal to provide an output with reduced sparkle artifacts	an alegebraic circuit for combining the low pass filtered lower brightness level signal and the delay matched higher brightness level signal, and generating a modified video signal yielding reduced sparkle artifacts in the images.

- 9. Reference 7,119,774 fails to teach of a split low pass filter arrangement that comprises of at least two low pass filters (with different sampling period/rates).
- 10. Rumreich et al. (US Patent No: 6,384,873 B1) teaches of a filter arrangement that comprises of at least two low pass filters (with different sampling period/rates) (Fig. 2, items LPF, column 1, lines 21-25).
- 11. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the filter arrangement with at least two low pass filter of Rumreich with the low pass filter arrangement of Willis '774 in order to provide the best cost-performance trade off by concurrently providing signals from at least two successive video lines (Rumreich, column 1, lines 21-25).

Application/Control Number: 10/078,778 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

12. Similar analysis to the one above can be made for the remaining claims. The table below lists a few examples of how the remaining claims match up:

Current Application (US Publication No: 2003/0156091 A1)	Reference (as modified above in claim 21) (US Patent No: 7,119,774 B2)
Claim 3	Claim 21
Claim 4	Claim 21
Claim 5	Claim 21
Claim 6	Claim 21
Claim 7	Claim 21
Claim 8	Claim 21
Claim 9	Claim 21
Claim 11	Claim 21
Claim 12	Claim 21
Claim 13	Claim 21
Claim 14	Claim 21
Claim 15	Claim 21
Claim 16	Claim 21

Application/Control Number: 10/078,778

Art Unit: 2629

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tammy Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-7773. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30 (Mon-Fri).

14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TP

7 April 2008

Tammy Pham

/Tammy Pham/

Examiner, Art Unit 2629

Page 6

/Sumati Lefkowitz/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629